

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
San Francisco Division

DANISHA BROWN,  
Plaintiff,

v.

DRIVEN BRANDS SHARED SERVICES,  
LLC, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-00390-CRB (LB)

**DISCOVERY ORDER**

Re: ECF No. 29

The district judge referred discovery to the undersigned. The plaintiff has now withdrawn the motion to compel the deposition of defendant Drive Brands' Rule 30(b)(6) witness.<sup>1</sup> The court issues this order to identify the procedures for any future discovery disputes.

The Northern District has abbreviated discovery-dispute procedures designed to raise issues faster than the normal five-weeks-to-hearing motion. They are in the undersigned's standing order (attached). The procedures in it require, among other things, that if a meet-and-confer by other means does not resolve the parties' dispute, lead counsel for the parties must meet and confer in person (if counsel are local) and then submit a joint letter brief with information about any unresolved disputes. The letter brief must be filed under the Civil Events category of "Motions

---

<sup>1</sup> Order of Reference – ECF No. 29; Mot. – ECF No. 28; Notice – ECF No. 31. Citations refer to material in the Electronic Case File (ECF); pinpoint citations are to the ECF-generated page numbers at the top of documents.

1 and Related Filings > Motions – General > Discovery Letter Brief.” After reviewing the letter  
2 brief, the court will evaluate whether future proceedings are necessary, including any further  
3 briefing or argument.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: January 31, 2024



---

6  
7 LAUREL BEELER  
United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California